

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

BRENDA FAY CHURCH,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:23-CV-219
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY,)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM OF OPINION AND</u>
)	<u>ORDER</u>
Defendant.)	[Regarding ECF No. 13]

On January 8, 2024, the assigned magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation suggesting that the Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff's applications for Disability Insurance Benefits, Supplemental Security Income, and Widow's Insurance Benefits be affirmed. *See* [ECF No. 13](#).

The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to conduct a *de novo* review only of those portions of a Report and Recommendation to which the parties have made an objection. [28 U.S.C. § 636\(b\)\(1\)\(C\)](#). Parties must file any objections to a Report and Recommendation within fourteen days of service. *Id.*; [Fed. R. Civ. P. 72\(b\)\(2\)](#). Failure to object within this time waives a party's right to appeal the district court's judgment. [Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 145 \(1985\)](#); [United States v. Walters, 638 F.2d 947, 949–50 \(6th Cir. 1981\)](#). Absent objections, a district court may adopt a magistrate judge's report without review. *See* [Thomas, 474 U.S. at 149](#).

In the instant case, objections to the Report and Recommendation were due by January 22, 2024. None of the parties have filed any objections. Accordingly, the Court adopts the

(1:23-cv-219)

Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 13](#). The Commissioner's decision denying Plaintiff Disability Insurance Benefits, Supplemental Security Income, and Widow's Insurance Benefits is affirmed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

January 24, 2024

Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson

Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge